

Shawn David Olfman

346 Matheson Avenue
Winnipeg MB R2W 0C8

PH: (204) 586-9601

Date: November 17, 2014

Attn: Rémi Racine (Chairman of the Board)

CBC
Radio-Canada
Audience Relations – Société Radio-Canada
P.O. Box 6000, Station centre-ville
Montreal, Quebec
Canada H3C 3A8

By Registered Mail

Dear Mr. Racine:

Re: Dishonest and Unethical CBC Journalism

On June 24, 2014 the CBC intentionally presented a dishonest article for the purpose of harming the reputations of my daughter and I.

The CBC article contains the following lies:

- 1) The article stated that I claimed my daughter was entitled to be in the medical program because she completed her pre-med courses. That is a lie. I stated that she was entitled to be offered a position in the Faculty of Medicine because her medical admission score, calculated according to the Faculty of Medicine's own published admission score formula, was higher than at least 66% of the students they admitted into medicine instead of my daughter.
- 2) I am my daughter's lawyer; the article stated that the statement of claim was 154 pages long. That is a lie. It was 49 pages.
- 3) The article contains the following intentionally misleading paragraph:
"The lawsuit claims the university's medical school has a flawed selection process and breached an informal contract it had with Henya Olfman by offering her pre-med classes."

That is tantamount to a lie. The website: greendrugs.com provides full details and provides copies of the actual University Documents and of the actual Government of Manitoba Documents; I cannot summarize that much material in this letter.

- 4) The article contains the following dishonest paragraph:
"The suit further alleges that denying her admission violates the United Nations International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and, in turn, the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms."

That paragraph is tantamount to a lie. The website: **greendrugs.com** provides full details. The lawsuit states **that the selection process** used by the University and Government of Manitoba violated the U.N. Covenant; the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms; and the University's own published Human Rights Code.

The article also contains the following lies of intentional omission:

- 5) The article leaves out the letter from the University's Faculty of Medicine where they admit in writing that they did NOT follow their own published admission formula in their refusal to offer admission to Henya. Hence the University admitted, in writing, that they breached their own published admissions policy to keep Henya out of Medical School.

- 6) The article leaves out the letter from the University's Senate Committee on Admissions, in which they wrote to Henya telling her that they did NOT have jurisdiction to adjudicate on the issues; therefore, if Henya wanted the issues adjudicated her only option was to file a lawsuit.

The CBC article also quotes, as if they are correct statements, what CBC knows to be wrong statements by the judge, implying that my daughter's case caused excessive expense to the defendants and the administration of justice. The CBC was fully aware that in the same week, the **same judge** made an order in another case, involving **another student suing the University of Winnipeg**; which other case had taken up many times more administration of justice time and defendant expenses. The CBC was also fully aware that my daughter's case has used far less administration of justice time and expense than an average case. For full details go to: greendrugs.com and click the button: "Proof the Media LIED".

I ask you, as the ultimate head of the CBC; why did the CBC act dishonestly and unethically toward my daughter and I; what was in it for the CBC ?

Sincerely Yours,

Shawn David Olfman
encls.

cbe_b4k17 rr

Aspiring doctor and her dad rebuked for suing over med school denial

Lawsuit filed by Henya Olfman's father called frivolous and an 'absolute abuse of process'

The Canadian Press Posted: Jun 24, 2014 1:27 PM CT Last Updated: Jun 24, 2014 1:48 PM CT

A judge has tossed a lawsuit filed by a woman who didn't get into medical school.

Henya Olfman was denied admission into the University of Manitoba's faculty of medicine in 2010 and lost a subsequent appeal with the school.

Her father, a lawyer, then took the battle to court, claiming his daughter was entitled to be in the medical program since she had completed her pre-med courses.

Intentional lie; claim says she obtained a higher admission score than 66% of admitted students.

• U of M sued for denying woman admission to med school

154-page

In a recent decision, Winnipeg Court of Queen's Bench Justice Chris Martin describes the lawsuit as frivolous and an "absolute abuse of process."

Intentional lie, the lawsuit was 49 pages

He says it's unfortunate the young woman didn't get into medical school and it must have been disappointing to her parents.

"Regrettably, setbacks and denied aspirations are a part of life," writes Martin.

"Yet, to confront this through a lawsuit with the attendant substantial expenditure of time, effort and money to the specific defendants, as well as to the plaintiff herself, and to the administration of justice generally, is remarkable."

The CBC knew the judge was wrong, but printed this as if he was correct (At greendrugs.com click "Proof the media LIE")

Claim called a meandering essay

Martin suggests the woman's father, Shawn Olfman, lost his objectivity in crafting the suit on behalf of his daughter. The judge calls the claim more of a meandering essay that piles up as many arguments as possible.

The lawsuit claims the university's medical school has a flawed selection process and breached an informal contract it had with Henya Olfman by offering her pre-med classes.

Intentional lie, the contract was formal; selection process not merely flawed, selection process illegal.

The suit further alleges that denying her admission violates the United Nations International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and, in turn, the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

Intentional lie, selection process violated Can Charter, UN covenant and University's own Human Rights Code as published by U of M.

The suit went as far as to call itself extraordinary. "More than any other case in Canada's history, this case will determine Canada's next few hundred years."

It's the second time the family has tried to sue. A previous claim was struck down in 2012, but it was rewritten and filed again.

The judge says claims like Olfman's clog the justice system and create delays for "proper" claims.

He awarded \$6,000 in legal costs to the university and the provincial government.

A message left at Shawn Olfman's office was not immediately returned.

© The Canadian Press, 2014

THE CANADIAN PRESS

↑ Not urgent news,
why not wait to
speak to me?

Intentional misleading; transcript from 2012 case

shows that in 2012 the judge said to re-file a new statement of claim

→ Biggest lie is omitting mention of the fact that U of M Faculty of Medicine sent a letter admitting they did not follow their own published admission formula in their refusal to offer Henya Olfman admission. Hence admitting they breached their own published admissions policy which was a breach of their contract to admit applicants based on their own published admission formula.

→ Second biggest lie is omitting that U of M Senate sent Henya Olfman a letter saying it did not have jurisdiction; hence if Henya wanted the issues adjudicated she had to go to court.