

Shawn David Olfman

346 Matheson Avenue
Winnipeg MB R2W 0C8

PH: (204) 586-9601

Date: January 12, 2015

Attn: Rémi Racine (Chairman of the Board)

CBC
Radio-Canada
Audience Relations – Société Radio-Canada
P.O. Box 6000, Station centre-ville
Montreal, Quebec
Canada H3C 3A8

By Registered Mail

Dear Mr. Racine:

Re: Dishonest and Unethical CBC Journalism

On November 17, 2014 I showed you the great courtesy of sending you a detailed letter pointing out LIES which the CBC published about my daughter and myself in its article of June 24, 2014. It was a courtesy to you because I gave you the consideration you did not give to me; when on June 24, 2014 you published a string of lies without giving me the opportunity to address them. However, you did not respond to my courtesy letter to you, instead I received a **bizarre letter from someone named Cecil Rosner** who works for CBC in Winnipeg.

The Canada Post website states that my November 17, 2014 registered letter to you was received by the CBC on November 20, 2014. Mr. Rosner's bizarre letter was written by him on December 19, 2014. Therefore, you and the CBC took one month to look into the matter and respond to me; was Mr. Rosner's letter really the best the CBC could come up with? If that is true, I have to wonder, what other garbage is the CBC pawning off on Canada instead of giving us the truth.

Mr. Rosner (speaking for you) claimed that in the CBC's story, writing that the statement of claim was 154 pages when the statement of claim was only 49 pages was a minor inconsistency, because it was a revised statement of claim. That is a

LIE, it was not a revised statement of claim, it was a new statement of claim. If Mr. Rosner does not know the difference between a revised statement of claim and a new statement of claim why did you consider him competent to speak for you ?

Your Mr. Rosner then claimed that the CBC's story accurately represented Judge Martin's decision. Judge Martin's decision clearly states that it is a new statement of claim, and that it is only 49 pages. Claiming that something is 154 pages so that you can ridicule its length, when you (the CBC) always knew that it was only 49 pages is not an innocent error; or is it the case that the CBC does **not** fully read the judgments on which it writes stories ? Which is it, that the CBC doesn't fully read the judgments on which it writes stories or that the CBC intentionally lied ?

As I wrote to you in my November 17, 2014 letter, which letter you gave to Mr. Rosner, CBC's story of lies contained the lie that:

"Mr. Olfman claimed his daughter was entitled to be in the medical program because she completed her pre-med courses."

The above statement is a complete lie as I have never made such a stupid statement. **But the real issue** is that there is **no such statement** in Judge Martin's decision. Why did your Mr. Rosner falsely write that the CBC was simply reporting Judge Martin's decision when in fact the above quote from the CBC's story is a pure lie that does not appear in Judge Martin's decision ? How can it be true that the CBC simply reported what was in the judge's decision when the CBC's story contained a lie that does not appear in the judge's decision ?

In the judge's decision the judge wrote that the statement of claim contained statements of fact **showing breaches** by the Province of Manitoba and the University of Manitoba **of the *Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms***; and breaches by the University of Manitoba of its own policy documents; in addition to breaches of United Nations Human Rights Documents which Canada swore to the world Canada would uphold. Is all of the above a laughing matter to the CBC because a judge refused to let the issues go to trial ?

The CBC reports on the evil of civil liberty violations, human rights violations and freedom of speech violations in foreign lands. But when it happens in Canada, and a court refuses to hold a trial, allowing the truth to remain hidden, then the CBC lies to protect the Government and its University. Hypocritically the CBC is a willing accomplice to civil liberty violations, human rights violations and freedom of speech violations in Canada. In Canada the CBC wants those violations to continue. The CBC wants to make people afraid to speak out, and so the CBC, in collusion with other media sources blanketed Canada with dishonest stories falsely maligning Henya's character; to make other Canadians afraid to speak out, for fear that they too would be publicly ridiculed by CBC's dishonest journalism coalition.

The greendrugs.com website proves that many other Canadian students have sued universities and that the CBC has said nothing because those other lawsuits did not involve the university and the government trampling on civil liberties, human rights and freedom of speech. They were usually cases of students who did not receive high enough grades asking for an exception to be made for them. In this case Henya received higher grades and a higher over all Faculty of Medicine admission score than most of the students they admitted instead of her; because the Government and its University felt free to act like despotic dictators and violate Henya's civil rights, human rights and free speech. The CBC then felt that it had to help the Government and its University by writing an article of lies to intimidate other Canadians; by sending out the message, that if you ask for your civil rights, human rights and freedom of speech, **when the Government does not want you to have them**, then we the CBC, the Government media, will lie to publicly ridicule you. (My November 17, 2014 letter to you points out all of CBC's lies.)

Mr. Racine, you authorized your friend and colleague Mr. Rosner to speak for you. Did your friend and colleague not realize that in addition to writing a letter which contained false statements, he ignored the even bigger news issues ? In any event, you as head of the CBC must realize that the **even bigger news issues are**:

Why did 7 major Canadian news sources, including the CBC, chose to blitz Canada with a story bashing Henya for asking for a fair and open trial, when **in the entire history of Canada**, 7 major Canadian news sources have **never before** done that to a student who sued a university ?

Why did **the same judge in the same month** charge Henya with a **much higher** class of court costs than he charged student Martin Green who sued the University of Winnipeg in a case which had four-hundred and seventy-five percent (475%) more court filed documents than did Henya's case ?

Why, if the CBC and the other major Canadian news sources honestly believed Henya's case was Cross-Canada news, have the CBC and those other news sources not reported on the actual University documents (the ***Faculty of Medicine Applicant Information Bulletin 2010-2011 Session***, and the ***Faculty of Medicine's letter to me of December 7, 2010***) which you and they read on the greendrugs.com website, which conclusively prove that the University did not follow its own published scoring formula when it denied to offer Henya admission to the Faculty of Medicine ?

Why, if the CBC and the other major Canadian news sources honestly believed Henya's case was Cross-Canada news, have the CBC and those other news sources not reported on the actual University document (the ***University's September 17, 2010 letter to Henya***) which you and they read on the greendrugs.com website; which conclusively proved that Henya had to take the matter to court as the University stated in writing that the questions of was the MMI both valid and legal are outside of its jurisdiction, and that it was outside of its jurisdiction to determine Henya's merits for admission to the Faculty of Medicine ?

Why, if the MMI is not as big an abuse of Civil Rights, Human Rights and Free Speech as I have demonstrated it is on the greendrugs.com website, is the Government and the University afraid to let their MMI experts be questioned by me in a public trial or in a public inquiry ?

Why is the MMI all done in secret with no record of how an applicant's score was arrived at and no record of what the applicant's answers were ? If that is in keeping with Canada's form of democracy, then can those same rules be used for other exams. For example, can a student's English essay or physics exam, etc., be shredded after the student writes it, and all that the student is told is the grade the professor wrote down ?

Does a democracy such as we have in Canada allow student's to be graded by people with no qualifications in the area in which they are grading the student (as proven on greendrugs.com and in my court filed documents, that is how the MMI

operates) Therefore, can we now have the English professor grading the Medical School's physiology exams and the Chemistry professor grading the essays students write in their psychology classes ?

You know that Henya's case will determine the future of education in Canada, did the CBC sell out to the government in this case, or is this CBC's usual practice ?

Sincerely Yours,

Shawn David Olfman

This letter was also sent by registered mail to the CBC, and received and signed for by the CBC. The CBC has not responded. If the CBC does respond, I will post their response on constitutionbreaches.com